


## Divide & Conquer II: Closest Pair of Points

### The Problem

Your input for the *closest pair of points* problem is a set  $P$  of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Assume that all of the  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates are distinct. The goal is to output a pair of points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  minimizing the Euclidean  $L_2$  distance  $d(p_1, p_2) = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2}$ .



This problem extremely common: in graphics, computer vision, robotics, scientific simulation, etc.

As we mentioned last time, Divide & Conquer is usually the way to come up with a *more efficient* algorithm for a problem which already has a polynomial brute force solution. What's the **naïve algorithm** here and what is its **running time**?

### Step 1: Define your recursive subproblem.

*Hint:* An idea similar to mergesort works here.

## Step 2: Combine the solutions to your subproblems.

Given the solutions (closest pair) from your subproblems (make sure the parameters make sense), how do you combine or compare these solutions to get the solution to our problem of size  $n$ ?

### Computing the Closest Pair Across Halves


*Idea:* Narrow down the set of points we need to search.

**Lemma 1.** For subproblem solutions of closest pairs  $\ell_0^*, \ell_1^* \in L$  and  $r_0^*, r_1^* \in R$ , let

$$\delta = \min\{ d(\ell_0^*, \ell_1^*) \quad , \quad d(r_0^*, r_1^*) \}.$$

If  $\ell \in L$  and  $r \in R$  and  $d(\ell, r) \leq \delta$ , then  $\ell$  and  $r$  are within  $\delta$  of  $h$ .

*Proof.*



**Definition 1.** Let  $S = \{p \in P : d(p, h) < \delta\}$  be the set of points within distance  $\delta$  of the line  $h$ .

Note: We can compute  $S$  in linear time.

Lemma 1 implies that we only need to search  $S$ . Problem: Brute-force over  $S$  is  $O(n^2)$  still.

Idea: Brute force more intelligently.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $S_y$  be a list of the points in  $S$  sorted by  $y$ -coordinate and let  $(s, s')$  be closest pair of points in  $P$ . If  $s, s' \in S$ , then they cannot lie more than 15 positions apart in  $S_y$ .


(There are less than  $15n$  such pairs, hence linear time to brute force!)

*Proof.*

a. Divide  $S$  into boxes of side-length  $\delta/2$ .

b. **Show:** No two points can share a box.

c. **Show:** If  $s$  and  $s'$  are at least 16 positions apart in  $S_y$ , then they're not the closest pair of points.



## The Algorithm

Preprocessing:

$P_x = P$  sorted by  $x$  coord.

$P_y = P$  sorted by  $y$  coord.

Closest( $P_x, P_y$ )

Closest( $P_x, P_y$ ):

Base case.  $|P| \leq 3$ : Brute force

Construct  $L_x, L_y, R_x, R_y$

$(\ell_0^*, \ell_1^*) = \text{Closest}(L_x, L_y)$

$(r_0^*, r_1^*) = \text{Closest}(R_x, R_y)$

Construct  $S_y$

$(s_0^*, s_1^*) =$  closest pair in  $S_y$  within 15 spots of each other.

Return closest of  $(\ell_0^*, \ell_1^*), (r_0^*, r_1^*), (s_0^*, s_1^*)$

## Runtime

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + O(f(n))$$

$a =$

$b =$

$$f(n) =$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) =$$